

**Appendix 2: Cranmer Neighbourhood Area application summary of consultation responses**

## Cranmer Neighbourhood Area application – responses summary

Respondent	Summary of Comment	Response
Councillor Grocock	<p>Expresses support for the application and continued engagement with parish councils towards shared neighbourhood planning goals.</p> <p>Highlights the local support for neighbourhood planning and the potential to promote sustainable development through production of a local plan.</p>	<p>It is noted that there is local support for neighbourhood planning and that this could support sustainable development.</p>
Historic England	<p>Historic England has no objection to the proposed designation.</p> <p>Expresses support for maintaining historic registers etc as council held evidence documents are often used in neighbourhood plan development.</p> <p>Highlights the need for any neighbourhood plan to properly consider the varied listed assets within the proposed area.</p> <p>Signposts resources and advice provided by Historic England useful to Neighbourhood Plan development</p>	<p>The support available for neighbourhood planning bodies is appreciated.</p> <p>Guidance and resources will continue to be passed onto neighbourhood planning bodies.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that the Council's own data must be kept up to date to enable effective neighbourhood planning.</p>
Natural England	<p>States Natural England has no comment regarding the proposed plan area or neighbourhood planning body.</p> <p>Signposts resources and advice available from Natural England to assist in Neighbourhood Plan development.</p>	<p>Guidance and resources will continue to be passed onto neighbourhood planning bodies.</p>
Resident 1	<p>Expresses support for the designation as proposed and the ability for the parish councils to have further influence in planning decisions.</p> <p>Suggests the area is very rural and distinct in that sense from the more developed areas of the borough.</p>	<p>Support for the proposed designation is acknowledged. It is also recognised that the rural nature of the parishes compared with other part of the borough could be a significant factor in the coherence of the designation.</p>

Respondent	Summary of Comment	Response
Resident 2	<p>Expresses concern over the coverage of the proposed designation suggesting inclusion of neighbouring parishes such as Alverton, Kilvington Staunton, Hawksworth and Scarrington. Expresses the need for any Neighbourhood plan to protect the River Smite and make other responses to climate change. Expresses the need for any housing allocations to be contiguous with existing development. Expresses interest in aiding the prospective neighbourhood steering group.</p>	<p>A standard neighbourhood plan area only covers one parish. The application has identified the specified parishes as a cohesive plan area being more rural than other areas of the borough and reliant on the A52's connection to amenities. Neighbourhood planning does provide opportunities to adopt policies relating to specific natural resources and allocating sites for sustainable development.</p>
Resident 3	<p>Expresses support for the ability for residents to identify and enhance special features in the defined Neighbourhood area through a Neighbourhood Plan. Expresses desired structure and outcomes of a neighbourhood planning process. Expresses support for a simple and unbureaucratic governance of any prospective neighbourhood plan to prioritise delivering the outcomes of neighbourhood planning. Highlights expectation that resources needed to produce a neighbourhood plan be from residents supported by officers and data from the new unitary authority.</p>	<p>Support for the application is acknowledged. The structure and outcomes of any neighbourhood plan are to be directed by the neighbourhood planning body. The governance of any Neighbourhood Plan will be determined by the neighbourhood planning body. The borough council will follow statutory procedure with regards to Neighbourhood Plan development and examination.</p>
Resident 4	<p>Highlights the application is non-standard (covering various parishes) and expresses concern that the applicants have not evidenced that a similar model has been successful elsewhere. Expresses concern that the needs of residents across the proposed designation are varied</p>	<p>It is accepted that the application is non – standard although there is justification submitted with the application for the area being cohesive. There are various examples nationally of local plans covering multiple parishes. It's</p>

Respondent	Summary of Comment	Response
	<p>by parish and as such any neighbourhood planning should be conducted within each individually.</p> <p>Highlights the need for resources, particularly planning and legal input in producing neighbourhood plans and that no source has been identified for this.</p> <p>Suggests that the designation will not produce anything more beneficial than the existing local and strategic plans.</p>	<p>recognised that there will likely be differences in needs both within and between parishes; any neighbourhood plan would need to be produced in a way which supported residents shared needs.</p> <p>While it is accepted there is no longer government support for neighbourhood planning, private sector help is still available and used by parishes for this activity.</p>